S.C.C. FILE NO. 38781

(IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CANADA)

(ON APPEAL FROM COURT OF APPEAL OF ONTARIO AND COURT OF APPEAL OF SASKATCHEWAN)

IN THE MATTER OF THE GREENHOUSE GAS POLLUTION PRICING ACT,

SC 2018, c. 12, s. 186

AND IN THE MATTER OF A REFERENCE BY THE LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL TO THE COURT OF APPEAL FOR ONTARIO UNDER THE COURTS OF JUSTICE ACT, RSO 1990, c. C. 43, s. 8

BETWEEN:

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ONTARIO

APPELLANT

and-

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

RESPONDENT

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF SASKATCHEWAN, ET AL.

INTERVENERS

ANDBETWEEN

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF SASKATCHEWAN

APPELLANT

-and-

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

RESPONDENT

-and-

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ONTARIO, ET AL

INTERVENERS

(Style of Cause Continued on next page)

FACTUM OF THE INTERVENER CANADIAN TAXPAYERS FEDERATION

(Pursuant to Rule 42 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of Canada)

CREASE HARMAN LLP SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

1070 Douglas Street, Unit 800 100- 340 Gilmour Street Victoria, BC V8W 2C4 Ottawa, Ontario K2P 0R3

R. Bruce E. Hallsor, Q.C. Marie-France Major

Hana Felix Tel: (613) 695-8855 Ext: 102

Tel: 205-388-9124 Fax: (613) 695-8580

Tel: 205-388-42294 E-mail: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Email: Bhallsor@crease.com
hfelix@crease.com
Agent for Intervener

Counsel for the Intervenor

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CANADA

(ON APPEAL FROM COURT OF APPEAL OF ONTARIO AND COURT OF APPEAL OF SASKATCHEWAN)

IN THE MATTER OF THE GREENHOUSE GAS POLLUTION PRICING ACT, SC 2018, c. 12, s. 186

AND IN THE MATTER OF A REFERENCE BY THE LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL TO THE COURT OF APPEAL FOR ONTARIO UNDER THE COURTS OF JUSTICE ACT, RSO 1990, c. C. 43, s. 8

BETWEEN:

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ONTARIO

APPELLANT

-and-

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

RESPONDENT

-and-

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF SASKATCHEWAN, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF QUEBEC, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW BRUNSWICK, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MANITOBA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ALBERTA, ANISHINABEK NATION AND UNITED CHIEFS **ANDCOUNCILS** OF MNIDOO MNISING. **PROGRESS ALBERTA CANADIAN** COMMUNICATIONS LIMITED, LABOUR CONGRESS. SASKATCHEWAN POWER CORPORATION AND SASKENERGY INCORPORATED, OCEANS NORTH CONSERVATION SOCIETY, ASSEMBLY OF FIRST NATIONS, CANADIAN TAXPAYERS FEDERATION, CANADA'S ECOFISCAL COMMISSION, ENVIRONMENTAL LAW ASSOCIATION. **ENVIRONMENTAL** DEFENCE CANADA INC. AND SISTERS OF PROVIDENCE OF ST. VINCENT DE PAUL, AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL CANADA, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF WOMEN AND THE LAW AND FRIENDS OF THE EARTH. INTERNATIONAL **EMISSIONS TRADING** ASSOCIATION, **DAVID SUZUKI** FOUNDATION. ATHABASCA CHIPEWYAN FIRST NATION. SMART PROSPERITY INSTITUTE. CANADIAN PUBLIC HEALTH ASSOCIATION, CLIMATE JUSTICE SASKATOON, NATIONAL FARMERS UNION, SASKATCHEWAN COALITION FOR SUSTAINABLE SASKATCHEWAN DEVELOPMENT, COUNCIL **FOR** INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION. SASKATCHEWAN ENVIRONMENTAL SOCIETY, SASKEV, COUNCIL OF CANADIANS: PRAIRIE AND NORTHWEST TERRITORIES REGION, COUNCIL OF CANADIANS: REGINA CHAPTER. COUNCIL OF CANADIANS: SASKATOON CHAPTER, NEW-BRUNSWICK ANTI-SHALE GAS ALLIANCE AND YOUTH OF THE EARTH, CENTRE QUÉBÉCOIS DU DROIT DE L'ENVIRONNEMENT ET ÉQUITERRE, GENERATION SQUEEZE, PUBLIC HEALTH ASSOCIATION OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, SASKATCHEWAN PUBLIC HEALTH ASSOCIATION, CANADIAN ASSOCIATION OF PHYSICIANS FOR THE ENVIRONMENT, CANADIAN COALITION FOR THE RIGHTS OF THE CHILD AND YOUTH CLIMATE LAB, ASSEMBLY OF MANITOBA CHIEFS, CITY OF RICHMOND, CITY OF VICTORIA, CITY OF NELSON, DISTRICT OF SQUAMISH, CITY OF ROSSLAND AND CITY OF VANCOUVER

ANDBETWEEN

S.C.C. File No. 38663

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CANADA

(ON APPEAL FROM COURT OF APPEAL OF ONTARIO AND COURT OF APPEAL OF SASKATCHEWAN)

BETWEEN:

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF SASKATCHEWAN

APPELLANT

-and-

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

RESPONDENT

-and-

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ONTARIO, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF QUEBEC, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW BRUNSWICK, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MANITOBA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ALBERTA. PROGRESS ALBERTA COMMUNICATIONS LIMITED. CANADIAN LABOUR CONGRESS, SASKATCHEWAN POWER CORPORATION AND SASKENERGY INCORPORATED, OCEANS NORTH CONSERVATION SOCIETY, ASSEMBLY OF FIRST NATIONS, CANADIAN TAXPAYERS FEDERATION, CANADA'S ECOFISCAL COMMISSION, CANADIAN ENVIRONMENTAL LAW ASSOCIATION, ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENCE CANADA INC. AND SISTERS OF PROVIDENCE OF ST. VINCENT DE PAUL. AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL CANADA. NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF WOMEN AND THE LAW AND FRIENDS OF THE EARTH, INTERNATIONAL EMISSIONS TRADING ASSOCIATION, DAVID SUZUKI FOUNDATION, ATHABASCA CHIPEWYAN FIRST NATION, SMART PROSPERITY INSTITUTE, CANADIAN PUBLIC HEALTH ASSOCIATION, CLIMATE JUSTICE SASKATOON, NATIONAL FARMERS UNION, SASKATCHEWAN COALITION FOR **SUSTAINABLE** DEVELOPMENT. **SASKATCHEWAN** COUNCIL **FOR** INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION, SASKATCHEWAN **ENVIRONMENTAL** SOCIETY, SASKEV, COUNCIL OF CANADIANS: PRAIRIE AND NORTHWEST TERRITORIES REGION, COUNCIL OF CANADIANS: REGINA CHAPTER, COUNCIL OF CANADIANS: SASKATOON CHAPTER. NEW-BRUNSWICK ANTI-SHALE GAS ALLIANCE AND YOUTH OF THE EARTH, CENTRE QUÉBÉCOIS DU DROIT DE L'ENVIRONNEMENT ET ÉQUITERRE, GENERATION SQUEEZE, PUBLIC HEALTH ASSOCIATION OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, SASKATCHEWAN PUBLIC HEALTH ASSOCIATION, CANADIAN ASSOCIATION OF PHYSICIANS FOR ENVIRONMENT, CANADIAN COALITION FOR THE RIGHTS OF THE CHILD AND YOUTH CLIMATE LAB, ASSEMBLY OF MANITOBA CHIEFS, CITY OF RICHMOND, CITY OF VICTORIA, CITY OF NELSON, DISTRICT OF SQUAMISH, CITY OF ROSSLAND AND CITY OF VANCOUVER

INTERVENERS

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ONTARIO

Constitutional Law Branch 720 Bay Street, 4th Floor Toronto, Ontario M7A 2S9

Joshua Hunter Padriac Ryan **Aud Ranalli**

Tel: (416) 908-7465 (416) 326-4015 Fax:

E-mail: joshua.hunter@ontario.ca Padraic.ryan@ontario.ca Aud.ranalli@ontario.ca

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

100-340 Gilmour Street Ottawa, Ontario K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

(613) 695-8855 Ext: 102 Tel:

(613) 695-8580 Fax:

E-mail: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

Agent for Attorney General of Ontario

Counsel for Attorney General of Ontario

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF SASKATCHEWAN

820 - 1874 Scarth St Aboriginal Law Branch Regina, Saskatchewan S3P 3B3

P. Mitch McAdam, Q.C., **Alan Jacobson** Deron Kuski, O.C.

(306) 787-7846 Tel: (306) 787-9111 Fax:

D. Lynne Watt Tel: (613) 786-8695

160 Elgin Street Suite 2600

Fax: (613) 788-3509

Ottawa, Ontario K1P 1C3

E-mail: lynne.watt@gowlingwlg.com

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

E-mail: mitch.mcadam@gov.sk.ca

Counsel for Attorney General of Saskatchewan

Agent for Attorney General of Saskatchewan

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

Prairie Regional Office 301-310 Broadway Avenue Winnipeg, Manitoba R3C 0S6

Ms. Sharlene Telles-Langdon

Brooke Sittler Mary Matthews Ned Djordjevic

Tel: (204) 983-0862 Fax: (204) 984-8495

E-mail: Sharlene.Telles-Langdon@justice.gc.ca

Counsel for Attorney General of Canada

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF QUEBEC

Procureure générale du Québec Ministère de la justice du Québec 1200 Route de l'Église, 4e étage Québec, Quebec G1V 4M1

Jean-Vincent Lacroix Laurie Anctil

Tel: (418) 643-1477 Ext: 20779

Fax: (418) 644-7030

E-mail: jean-vincent.lacroix@justice.gouv.qc.ca

Counsel for Attorney General of Quebec

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

50 O'Connor Street Suite 500 Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0H8

Christopher Rupar

Tel: (613) 670-6290 Fax: (613) 954-1920

E-mail: christopher.rupar@justice.gc.ca

Agent for Attorney General of Saskatchewan

NOËL & ASSOCIÉS

111, rue Champlain Gatineau, Quebec J8X 3R1

Pierre Landry

Tel: (819) 503-2178 Fax: (819) 771-5397

E-mail: p.landry@noelassocies.com

Agent for Attorney General of Quebec

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW BRUNSWICK

675 King Street, Suite 2018 P.O. Box 6000, Stn. A. Fredericton, New Brunswick E3B 5H1

Isabel Lavoie-Daigle Rachelle Standing

Tel: (506) 453-2222 Fax: (506) 453-3275

E-mail: <u>isabel.lavoiedaigle@gnb.ca</u>

rachelle.standing@gnb.ca

Counsel for Attorney General of New Brunswick

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

1001 Douglas Street, 6th Floor PO Box 9280 Stn Prov Govt Victoria, British Columbia V8W 9J7

J. Gareth Morley

Tel: (250) 952-7644 Fax: (250) 356-0064

E-mail: gareth.morley@gov.bc.ca

Counsel for Attorney General of British

Columbia

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MANITOBA

Constitutional Law 1230 - 405 Broadway Winnipeg, Manitoba R3C 3L6

Michael Conner Allison Kindle Pejovic

Tel: (204) 945-6723 Fax: (204) 945-0053

E-mail: michael.conner@gov.mb.ca

Counsel for Attorney General of Manitoba

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

160 Elgin Street Suite 2600 Ottawa, Ontario K1P 1C3

D. Lynne Watt

Tel: (613) 786-8695 Fax: (613) 788-3509

E-mail: lynne.watt@gowlingwlg.com

Agent for Attorney General of New Brunswick

MICHAEL SOBKIN

Barrister and Solicitor 331 Somerset Street West Ottawa, Ontario K2P 0J8

Michael J. Sobkin

Tel: (613) 282-1712 Fax: (613) 288-2896

E-mail: msobkin@sympatico.ca

Agent for Attorney General of British

Columbia

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

160 Elgin Street Suite 2600 Ottawa, Ontario K1P 1C3

D. Lynne Watt

Tel: (613) 786-8695 Fax: (613) 788-3509

E-mail: lynne.watt@gowlingwlg.com

Agent for Attorney General of Manitoba

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ALBERTA

Gall Legge Grant Zwack LLP 1199 West Hastings Street Suite 1000 Vancouver, British Columbia V6E 3T5

Peter A. Gall, Q.C.

Tel: (604) 891-1152 Fax: (604) 669-5101 E-mail: pgall@glgzlaw.com

Counsel for Attorney General of Alberta

MCKERCHER LLP

374 Third Avenue South Saskatoon, Saskatchewan S7K 1M5

David M. A. Stack, Q.C.

Tel: (306) 664-1277 Fax: (306) 653-2669

Email: d.stack@mckercher.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, Saskatchewan Power Corporation and SaskEnergy Incorporated

DEMARCO ALLAN LLP

333 Bay Street Suite 625 Toronto (Ontario) M5H 2R2

Elisabeth DeMarco Jonathan McGillivray

Tel: (647) 991-1190 Fax: (888) 734-9459

Email: lisa@demarcoallan.com

Counsel for the Intervener, International Emissions Trading Association

CAZASAIKALEY LLP

350 - 220 avenue Laurier Ouest Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5Z9

Alyssa Tomkins

Tel: (613) 564-8269 Fax: (613) 565-2087

E-mail: atomkins@plaideurs.ca

Agent for Attorney General of Alberta

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

160 Elgin Street Suite 2600 Ottawa, Ontario K1P 1C3

D. Lynne Watt

Tel: 613) 786-8695 Fax: (613) 788-3509

Email: lynne.watt@gowlingwlg.com

Agent for the Intervener, Saskatchewan Power Corporation and SaskEnergy Incorporated

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

Suite 1600, 1 First Canadian Place 100 King Street West Toronto (Ontario) M5X 1G5

Jennifer L. King Michael Finley Liane Langstaff

Tel: (416) 862-7525 Fax: (416) 862-7661

Email: jennifer.king@gowlingwlg.com

Counsel for the Intervener, Canadian Public Health Association

ECOJUSTICE ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CLINIC AT THE UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA

216-1 Stewart Street Ottawa (Ontario) K1N 6N5

Amir Attaran

Tel: (613) 562-5800 Ext: 3382

Fax: (613) 562-5319

Email: aattaran@ecojustice.ca

Counsel for Intervener, Athabasca Chipewyan First Nation

CANADIAN ENVIRONMENTAL LAW ASSOCIATION

1500 - 55 University Avenue Toronto (Ontario) M5J 2H7

Joseph F. Castrilli Theresa McClenaghan Richard D. Lindgren

Tel: (416) 960-2284 Ext: 7218

Fax: (416) 960-9392

Email: castrillij@sympatico.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, Canadian Environmental Law Association, Environmental Defence Canada Inc. and Sisters of Providence of St. Vincent de Paul

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

160 Elgin Street, Suite 2600 Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1C3

Jeffrey W. Beedell

Tel (613) 786-0171 Fax: (613) 788-3587

Email jeff.beedell@gowlingwlg.com

Agent for the Intervener, Canadian Public Health Association

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

160 Elgin Street, Suite 2600 Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1C3

Jeffrey W. Beedell

Tel: (613) 786-0171 Fax: (613) 788-3587

Email: jeff.beedell@gowlingwlg.com

Agent for the Intervener,

Canadian Environmental Law Association, Environmental Defence Canada Inc. and Sisters

of Providence of St. Vincent de Paul

ASSEMBLY OF FIRST NATIONS

55 Metcalfe Street, Suite 1600 Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 6L5

Stuart Wuttke Julie McGregor AdamWilliamson Victor Carter

Tel: (613) 241-6789 Ext: 228

Fax: (613) 241-5808 Email: swuttke@afn.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, Assembly of First Nation

ECOJUSTICE ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CLINIC AT THE UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA

216-1 Stewart Street Faculty of Law - Common Law Ottawa (Ontario) K1N 6N5

Joshua Ginsberg RandyChristensen

Tel: (613) 562-5800 Ext: 3399

Fax: (613) 562-5319

Email: jginsberg@ecojustice.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, David Suzuki Foundation

LSM UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA FACULTY OF LAW

57 Louis Pasteur Street Ottawa, Ontario K1N 6N5

Stewart Elgie, LSM

Tel: (613) 562-5800 Ext: 1270 Email: stewart.elgie@uottawa.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, Canada's Ecofiscal Commission

SUPREME LAW GROUP

900 - 275 Slater Street Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 5H9

Moira Dillon

Tel: (613) 691-1224 Fax: (613) 691-1338

Email: mdillon@supremelawgroup.ca

Agent for the Intervener, Assembly of First Nations

ECOJUSTICE ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CLINIC AT THE UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA

216-1 Stewart Street Faculty of Law - Common Law Ottawa, Ontario K1N 6N5

Joshua Ginsberg Randy Christensen

Tel: (613) 562-5800 Ext: 3399

Fax: (613) 562-5319

E-mail: jginsberg@ecojustice.ca

Agent for Counsel for the Intervener, David Suzuki Foundation

CHAMP AND ASSOCIATES

43 Florence Street Ottawa, Ontario K2P 0W6

Bijon Roy

Tel: (613) 237-4740 Fax: (613) 232-2680 Email: broy@champlaw.ca

Agent for the Intervener, Canada's Ecofiscal Commission

KOWALCHUK LAW OFFICE

18 Patton Street

Regina, Saskatchewan S4R 3N9

Larry W. Kowalchuk

Tel: (306) 529-3001

Email larry@kowalchuklaw.ca

Counsel for the Interveners,

Climate Justice Saskatoon, National Farmers Union, Saskatchewan Coalition for Sustainable Development, Saskatchewan Council for International Cooperation, Saskatchewan Environmental Society,

SaskEV

KOWALCHUK LAW OFFICE

18 Patton Street

Regina, Saskatchewan S4R 3N9

Larry W. Kowalchuk

Tel: (306) 529-3001

Email:larry@kowalchuklaw.ca

Counsel for the Interveners,

Council of Canadians: Prairie and

Northwest Territories Region, Council of Canadians: Regina Chapter, Council of Canadians: Saskatoon Chapter, New-Brunswick Anti-Shale Gas Alliance and

Youth of the Earth

NANDA & COMPANY

3400 Manulife Place 10180- 101 Street N.W. Edmonton, Alberta T5J 4K1

Avnish Nanda

Martin Olszynski

Tel: (780) 801-5324 Fax: (587) 318-1391 Email: avnish@nandalaw.ca

Counsel for the Intervener,

Progress Alberta Communications Limited

SUPREME LAW GROUP

900 - 275 Slater Street Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 5H9

Moira Dillon

Tel: (613) 691-1224 Fax: (613) 691-1338

Email: mdillon@supremelawgroup.ca

Agent for Counsel for the Intervener, Climate Justice Saskatoon, National Farmers Union, Saskatchewan Coalition for Sustainable Development, Saskatchewan Council for International Cooperation, Saskatchewan Environmental Society, SaskEV

SUPREME LAW GROUP

900 - 275 Slater Street Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5H9

Moira Dillon

Tel: (613) 691-1224 Fax: (613) 691-1338

Email: mdillon@supremelawgroup.ca

Agent for the Intervener,

Council of Canadians: Prairie and Northwest Territories Region, Council of Canadians: Regina Chapter, Council of Canadians: Saskatoon Chapter, New-Brunswick Anti-Shale Gas Alliance and Youth of the Earth

MCGUINTY LAW OFFICES

1192 Rockingham Avenue Ottawa, Ontario K1H 8A7

Dylan Jr. McGuinty

Tel: (613) 526-3858 Fax: (613) 526-3187

Email: dylanjr@mcguintylaw.ca

Agent for the Intervener, Progress Alberta Communications Limited

WESTAWAY LAW GROUP

55 Murray Street Suite 230

Ottawa, Ontario K1N 5M3

Cynthia Westaway Patricia Lawrence

Tel: (613) 722-6339 (613) 722-9097 Fax:

Email: cynthia@westawaylaw.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, **Anishinabek Nation and United Chiefs and**

Councils of Mnidoo

GOLDBLATT PARTNERS LLP

20 Dundas Street West Suite 1039 Toronto, Ontario M5G 2C2

Steven M. Barrett **Simon Archer Mariam Moktar**

Tel (416) 977-6070 (416) 591-7333 Fax:

Email: sbarrett@goldblattpartners.com

Counsel for the Intervener, **Canadian Labour Congress**

ARVAY FINLAY LLP

1512 - 808 Nelson Street

Vancouver (British Columbia) V6Z 2H2

David W.L. Wu

Tel: (604) 696-9828 Fax: (888) 575-3281

Email: dwu@arvayfinlay.ca

Counsel for the Intervener,

Oceans North Conservation Society

WESTAWAY LAW GROUP

55 Murray Street Suite 230

Ottawa, Ontario K1N 5M3

Geneviève Boulay

Tel: (613) 702-3042 (613) 722-9097 Fax:

Email: genevieve@westawaylaw.ca

Agent for Counsel for the Intervener, **Anishinabek Nation and United Chiefs and**

Councils of Mnidoo Mnising

GOLDBLATT PARTNERS LLP

500 - 30 Metcalfe Street Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5L4

Colleen Bauman

Tel: (613) 482-2463 (613) 235-3041 Fax:

Email: cbauman@goldblattpartners.com

Agent for the Intervener, **Canadian Labour Congress**

SUPREME LAW GROUP

900 - 275 Slater Street Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5H9

Moira Dillon

(613) 691-1224 Tel: Fax: (613) 691-1338

Email: mdillon@supremelawgroup.ca

Agent for the Intervener,

Oceans North Conservation Society

STOCKWOODS LLP

TD North Tower, suite 4130 77 King Street West, P.O. Box 140 Toronto, Ontario M5K 1H1

Justin Safayeni Zachary Al-Khatib

Tel: (416) 593-7200 Fax: (416) 593-9345

Email: justins@stockwoods.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, Amnesty International Canada

UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA

57 Louis Pasteur Street Ottawa, Ontario K1N 6C5

Nathalie Chalifour Anne Levesque

Tel: (613) 562-5800 Ext: 3331

Fax: (613) 562-5124

Email: Nathalie.Chalifour@uottawa.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, National Association of Women and the Law and Friends of the Earth

UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA FACULTY OF LAW

57 Louis Pasteur Street Ottawa, Ontario K1N 6N5

Jeremy de Beer

Tel: (613) 562-5800 Ext : 3169 Email: Jeremy.deBeer@uOttawa.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, Smart Prosperity Institute

CONWAY BAXTER WILSON LLP

400 - 411 Roosevelt Avenue Ottawa, Ontario K2A 3X9

David P. Taylor

Tel: (613) 691-0368 Fax: (613) 688-0271 Email: dtaylor@conway.pro

Agent for the Intervener, Amnesty International Canada

CONWAY BAXTER WILSON LLP

400 - 411 Roosevelt Avenue Ottawa, Ontario K2A 3X9

Marion Sandilands

Tel: (613) 288-0149 Fax: (613) 688-0271

Email: msandilands@conway.pro

Agent for the Intervener, National Association of Women and the Law and Friends of the Earth

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

160 Elgin Street Suite 2600 Ottawa, Ontario K1P 1C3

Guy Régimbald

Tel: (613) 786-0197 Fax: (613) 563-9869

Email: guy.regimbald@gowlingwlg.com

Agent for the Intervener, Smart Prosperity Institute

MICHEL BÉLANGER AVOCATS INC.

454, avenue Laurier Est Montréal, Québec H2J 1E7

David Robitaille Marc Bishai

Tel: (514) 991-9005 Fax: (514) 844-7009

Email: david.robitaille@uottawa.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, Centre québécois du droit de l'environnement et Équiterre

RATCLIFF & COMPANY LLP

221 West Esplanade Suite 500 North Vancouver, British Columbia V7M 3J3

Nathan Hume Emma Hume Cam Brewer

Tel: (604) 988-5201 Fax: (604) 988-1452 Email: nhume@ratcliff.com

Counsel for the Intervener, Generation Squeeze, Public Health Association of British Columbia, Saskatchewan Public Health Association, Canadian Association of Physicians for the Environment, Canadian Coalition for the Rights of the Child and Youth Climate Lab

POWER LAW

130 Albert Street Suite 1103 Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5G4

Maxine Vincelette

Tel: (613) 702-5560 Fax: (613) 702-5561

Email: mvincelette@juristespower.ca

Agent for the Intervener, Centre québécois du droit de l'environnement et Équiterre

POWER LAW

130 Albert Street Suite 1103 Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5G

Darius Bossé

Tel: (613) 702-5566 Fax: (613) 702-5566

Email: DBosse@juristespower.ca

Agent for the Intervener, Generation Squeeze, Public Health Association of British Columbia, Saskatchewan Public Health Association, Canadian Association of Physicians for the Environment, Canadian Coalition for the Rights of the Child and Youth Climate Lab

PUBLIC INTEREST LAW

Centre 200 - 393 Portage Avenue Winnipeg, Manitoba R3B 3H6

Joëlle Pastora Sala Byron Williams Katrine Dilay

Tel: (204) 985-8540 Fax: (204) 985-8544 Email: jopas@pilc.mb.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, Assembly of Manitoba Chiefs

LIDSTONE & CO. LAW CORP.

1300 – 128 W. Pender Street Vancouver, BC V6B 1R8

Paul A. Hildebrand Olivia French

Tel: 604-899-2269 Fax: 604-899-2281

Email: hildebrand@lidstone.ca

french@lidstone.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, City of Richmond, City of Victoria, City of Nelson, District of Squamish, City of Rossland et City of Vancouver

POWER LAW

130 Albert Street Suite 1103 Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5G4

Maxine Vincelette

Tel: (613) 702-5560 Fax: (613) 702-5560

Email: mvincelette@powerlaw.ca

Agent for Counsel for the Intervener, Assembly of Manitoba Chiefs

POWER LAW

130 Albert Street Suite 1103 Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5G4

Maxine Vincelette

Tel: (613) 702-5560 Fax: (613) 702-5560

Email: mvincelette@powerlaw.ca

Agent for Counsel for the Intervener, City of Richmond, City of Victoria, City of Nelson, District of Squamish, City of Rossland et City of Vancouver

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <u>Tab</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| PART I – OVERVIEW AND FACTS | 1 |
| PART II – QUESTIONS IN ISSUE | 1 |
| PART III – STATEMENT OF ARGUMENT | 2 |
| A. The Carbon Tax under the GGPPA is a Tax, not a Regulatory Charge | 2 |
| B. The Carbon Tax violates section 53 of the Constitution Act | 8 |
| PART IV – COSTS | 10 |
| PART VI – TABLE OF AUTHORITIES | 11 |

PART I – OVERVIEW AND FACTS

- 1. Both of the appeals before the Court are concerned with whether the federal legislation, The *Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act*, (the "*GGPPA*" or "Act")¹, that regulates Provincial greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions is constitutional in whole or in part.
- 2. It is the position of the Intervenor the Canadian Taxpayers Federation (the "CTF") that the *GGPPA* is wholly unconstitutional.
- 3. The CTF intervenes in these appeals following notices of intention to intervene in relation to a constitutional question filed on November 4, 2019.
- 4. The CTF relies on the statements of facts in the Court's files.

PART II – QUESTIONS IN ISSUE

- 5. In its notices of constitutional question submitted to the Court the appellant, the Attorney General for Saskatchewan (file # 38663), formulated the following questions:
 - 1. Is the *Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act* unconstitutional in whole or in part?
 - 2. In particular, does Parliament have jurisdiction to establish minimum national standards for price stringency for greenhouse gas emissions under the national concern branch of the peace, order and good government power set out in the opening words of section 91 of the *Constitution Act*, 1867²?
- 6. In its notices of constitutional question submitted to the Court the appellant, the Attorney General for Ontario (file # 38781), formulated the following questions:
 - 1. Whether the Court of Appeal for Ontario erred in finding that Parts 1 and 2 of the *Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act* are a valid exercise of the national concern branch of the peace, order and good government power set out in the opening words of s 91 of the *Constitution Act*, 1867; and
 - 2. Whether the Court of Appeal for Ontario erred in finding that the charges imposed by the *Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act* comply with s 53 of the *Constitution Act*, 1867.

¹ Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act, SC 2018, c.12, s 186.

² Constitution Act, 1867, 30 & 31 Victoria, c. 3 (U.K.) (the "Constitution Act, 1867)

- 7. With the above questions in mind the CTF wants to put forward the following two interrelated arguments that the *GGPPA*, itself, is wholly unconstitutional:
 - A. The CTF's first concern and position is that the *GGPPA* is in fact a tax, and not a regulatory charge as was found by the majority judgement in both Courts below. The CTF will make submissions that the *GGPPA* satisfies the test for whether a levy is a tax under the Sir Lyman Duff's indicia of taxation and, at the same time it does not satisfy either of the two stages of the Westbank test, as outlined by this Court, and therefore it is a tax.
 - B. The the federal carbon tax does not adhere to the constitutional principle of 'no taxation without representation' and Parliament has not delegated its power to tax in the proper manner. Therefore, the CTF will respectfully submit that the GGPPA is unconstitutional and violates the Constitution Act, 1867.

PART III - STATEMENT OF ARGUMENT

A. The Carbon Tax under the GGPPA is a Tax, not a Regulatory Charge

- 8. The CTF disagrees with the assessment of both majority decisions that the *GGPPA* is a regulatory charge and not a tax.
- 9. The CTF agrees with the Saskatchewan minority that found the charges under *GGPPA* Part 1 to be a tax³ and clearly do not meet the test of a regulatory charge under the *Westbank* test.⁴
- 10. The CTF submits that Part 1 of the *GGPPA* is a tax as defined by Sir Lyman Duff and does not meet any of the *Westbank* factors for determining whether a tax-like levy is part of a relevant regulatory scheme.⁵

³ Reference re Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act, 2019 SKCA 40 (the "Saskatchewan Reference") at para 346.

⁴ Saskatchewan Reference at para 316.

⁵ As cited in *Eurig Estate (Re)*, [1998] 2 SCR 565 [*Eurig Estate*] at paras 15–16, 22–23; Westbank First Nation v British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, [1999] 3 SCR 134 [Westbank] at paras 21–24; 620 Connaught Ltd. v Canada (Attorney General), 2008 SCC 7, [2008] 1 SCR 131 [620Connaught] at para 22.

- 11. In both in both Courts below, the Attorney General of Canada appeared to implicitly accept, in its factum, that Sir Lyman Duff's indicia are met because the AG of Canada agrees:
 - (1) the carbon tax is enforceable by law⁶;
 - (2) the carbon tax is authorized by Parliament;
 - (3) the carbon tax is imposed by a public body⁷; and
 - (4) the carbon tax is intended for a public purpose.
- 12. Therefore, the focus turns to *Westbank*'s two-stage test⁸, wherein the initial-stage considers whether a regulatory scheme exists and, if so, then the secondary-stage considers whether the levy is suitably connected to that regulatory scheme. The CTF's position is that the *GGPPA* does not satisfy either part of the two-stage *Westbank* analysis and is, thus, a 'tax'.
- 13. At the initial-stage of the *Westbank* analysis, the following four factors should be considered¹⁰:

[A] court should look for the presence of some or all of the following indicia of a regulatory scheme: (1) a complete, complex and detailed code of regulation; (2) a regulatory purpose which seeks to affect some behaviour; (3) the presence of actual or properly estimated costs of the regulation; (4) a relationship between the person being regulated and the regulation, where the person being regulated either benefits from, or causes the need for, the regulation.

14. The *GGPPA* is not a complete and detailed code of regulation, nor is it part of a complete and detailed code of regulation. Instead, the carbon tax is only a tax, and associated tax legislation, like the tax that was considered by this Court in *Reference re: Natural Gas Export Tax*¹¹. There, this Court considered a levy on Alberta's natural gas, which the Dominion claimed has a regulatory

⁶ GGPPA at Part I, Division 6.

⁷ Tellingly, the Minister responsible for imposing and administering the carbon tax is the Minister of National Revenue: *GGPPA* at s. 3.

⁸ Westbank at paras 23–30; 620 Connaught at paras 23–26, 29.

⁹ 620 Connaught at paras 25, 38–39.

¹⁰ 620 Connaught at para 25; see also: Westbank at paras 25-30.

¹¹ 620 Connaught at paras 25, 30–33; Westbank at paras 25–27; Reference re: Proposed Federal Tax on exported Natural Gas, [1982] 1 SCR 1004 [Re Exported Natural Gas] at pp. 1073–1076.

charge aimed at regulating energy. In its finding the levy to be a tax, the majority made the following comments about that tax's regulatory scheme¹²:

As will be seen, there is nothing in Part IV.1 added to the Excise Tax Act, supra, by Bill C-57 which is any way regulates the flow of natural gas produced in Canada through interprovincial or international channels. It is not a conservation statute nor is it indeed a price regulating statute. It has nothing to do with the channels of industry into which the gas should be routed, as, for example, in replacement of electricity, coal or other sources of energy. In short, it is purely, as announced in the budget and The National Energy Program 1980 a revenue raising measure.

- 15. Similarly, here, the entire *GGPPA* contains nothing but rules and protocols for applying the carbon tax¹³. In other words, the two parts of the *GGPPA* Parts I and II are not just two parts of a ten-part comprehensive environmental and 'green development' legislative scheme. In short, there is nothing in the *GGPPA* that makes the carbon tax part of a legislative scheme aimed at the development of more affordable green technologies.
- 16. As in *Reference re: Natural Gas Export Tax*, there is no broader regulatory scheme in place beyond the (largely delegated) administration of the carbon tax itself.¹⁴ 620 *Connaught* is an example of when a tax may be part of a regulatory scheme. There, the levy was raised within the Jasper National park to raise money for the maintenance and administration of Jasper National Park.¹⁵
- 17. But the *GGPPA*'s legislative scheme, limited as it is, is far more akin to the situation described in *Reference re: Natural Gas Export Tax* than it is to the situation described in *620 Connaught*. On that basis, the first initial-stage *Westbank* factor carbon tax and the *GGPPA* show the carbon tax not to be a 'regulatory charge'.
- 18. As to the second initial-stage *Westbank* factor, the regulatory purpose must seek to affect some behaviour, the carbon tax is only indirect in its attempt to alter behaviour. The carbon tax is simply a sales tax on fuel, no different than the GST/HST or other excise taxes that are already charged on fuel. To the extent that increased prices alter consumer behaviour, this is an indirect

¹² Re Exported Natural Gas at pp. 1073–1076 (citation from p. 1073).

¹³ GGPPA at Parts I and II.

¹⁴ Re Exported Natural Gas at pp. 1077–1078.

¹⁵ 620 Connaught at para 30.

and imprecise effect at best, which is impossible to measure. The Saskatchewan minority pointed out that the "Record of the Attorney General of Canada acknowledges that carbon pricing policies 'are not automatically environmentally effective." The Saskatchewan minority further noted that the purpose of discouraging behavior is not achieved by the legislation "at the outset and no one seems to be able to say when it will."

- 19. British Columbia has had a carbon tax for 10 years now, and yet the affidavit of Dr. Mark Bigland-Pritchard shows that in the last 10 years, BC's reduction in greenhouse gas emissions has been insignificant compared to that of Ontario, which has not had a carbon tax. The chart on page 25 indicates that BC decreased its GHG emissions by around 5% while Ontario recorded a decrease of around 22% ¹⁸.
- 20. According to the affidavit of John Moffet, British Columbia has actually seen a steady increase in GHG emissions since 2012, and "a higher carbon price will be required to drive deeper emissions reductions in the future." His affidavit also notes that are significant areas of GHG's that are not regulated by a carbon tax and that in some areas carbon taxation is simply impracticable or ineffective. This is discussed at paras. 135-140¹⁹.
- 21. Demand inelasticity is a bar to the effectiveness of carbon price as certain activities may not respond to an increase in carbon price in an effective and timely manner unless the price is very high. Furthermore, there needs to be access to lower cost, cleaner alternatives as without such access increased carbon prices will likely lead to "little or no reduction in GHG regardless of the assigned carbon price."²⁰
- 22. The theory that carbon taxes will result in consumers reducing carbon consumption is a theory, not a fact. The effectiveness is impossible to accurately predict or measure, as the effect

¹⁶ Saskatchewan Reference at para 314.

¹⁷ Saskatchewan Reference at para. 315.

¹⁸ Affidavit of *Dr. Mark Bigland-Pritchard*, Sworn December 17, 2018, Appendix 2 at pp. 25 [Record of the Appellant] (the "ROA") Vol 10, pp 194; Affidavit of John Moffet [*Moffet Affidavit*], sworn October 25, 2018, at Exhibit N at pp. 16 [ROA] Vol 3, Tab pp 151.

¹⁹ Moffet Affidavit, supra at para. 135 – 140 and Exhibit O at pp. 6, [ROA] Vol 4 pp. 7.

²⁰ Moffet Affidavit, supra at Exhibit O at pp.11, [ROA] Vol 4 pp. 12; Moffet Affidavit, at Exhibit O at pp.12, [ROA] Vol 4 pp. 13.

is only indirect.

- 23. The third initial-stage *Westbank* factor looks at identifying the presence of a proper estimation of its costs. The CTF agrees with the Saskatchewan minority that concluded "This criterion is not satisfied by Part 1. The evidence before the Court does not demonstrate that the fuel levy forms a nexus with any regulatory costs so as to bring it within the type of regulatory charge contemplated in *Allard Contractors Ltd. v Coquitlam (District)*, [1993] 4 SCR 371 [*Allard*], or in *Ontario Home Builders*."²¹
- 24. Finally, the fourth initial-stage factor considers the relationship between the ostensible regulatory scheme and the set of persons that is being made subject to the federal carbon tax; for instance, in *620 Connaught*, the regulatory scheme pertained to the maintenance and administration of Jasper National Park, and the persons being made subject to the levy were business owners within Jasper National Park, so there was an obvious relationship.²²
- 25. Here, however, there is no direct and obvious relationship between the carbon tax and families living in the respective provinces. Indeed, in the instant matter, the obligation for families to pay the federal carbon tax is condition on policy choices made by their provincial government. The triggering condition for determining if residents will pay the federal carbon tax is whether the Government of the Province adopts a policy that satisfies the Government of Canada. If it does, then families could drive all year and heat their house all winter and not pay any federal carbon tax. But, if the Provincial Government does not satisfy the Government of Canada, then that same family could drive all year and heat their house all winter and have to pay federal carbon tax, and maybe suffer energy poverty. Therefore, the triggering condition is <u>not</u> the family's behaviour. Rather, the triggering condition is solely dependent on whether the Provincial Government adopts a climate policy that satisfies the Government of Canada.
- 26. In fact, because the standards are set by regulation, it would be possible for those regulations to change every year, and for the tax to apply one year, and not the next, even though there was no change in the policy of the Provincial Government. This tax can come and go at the

²¹ Saskatchewan Reference at para 319.

²² 620 Connaught, supra at paras 25 and 34–36.

whim of an appointed body, and there is nothing an individual can do to alter whether or not he or she has to pay it.

- 27. Furthermore, although a charge may be issued in relation to the conferral of a benefit; individual residents of a Province clearly do not receive any direct benefit from paying this tax. The Saskatchewan minority also concluded there is no indication "that the persons who are subject to the direct payment of the fuel levy will benefit from any of the *regulation* provided under Part 1."²³ Therefore, there is no direct relation between the people of a Province and the federal carbon tax. As a result, this fourth initial-stage factor also shows the carbon tax not to be a 'regulatory charge'. Thus, the carbon tax fails the initial-stage of the *Westbank* test²⁴.
- 28. As to the secondary stage of the *Westbank* test²⁵, the CTF also submits as there is no connection between the ostensible broader regulatory purpose (even if one finds that there is one), and the carbon tax under the *GGPPA*. This was also contemplated by the Saskatchewan minority when contemplating whether Part 1 of the *GGPPA* meets the second stage of the Westbank criteria.
- 29. The predominant effect of carbon tax is not to affect behavior, but to generate revenue. Although it is recognized that "the government needs to be given some reasonable leeway"²⁶, the evidence shows that the federal carbon tax is not even vaguely calibrated toward being at a level that is rationally connected to the Government of Canada's supposed policy aim.
- 30. Rather, all the federal carbon tax seems calibrated to do, at this point, it to require families to now pay more for the same quantity of an inelastic good. In short, taxpayers will now have to pay more tax, for the same amount of gas as before in order to get to work or for the same about of energy to heat their homes in the winter.
- 31. The GGPPA does not provide under s.165 where the collected revenue from the tax should be spent or deposited. Under s.165(2) the Minster may distribute the net amount:
 - (a) to the province;

²³ Saskatchewan Reference para 308.

²⁴ 620 Connaught, supra at para. 30–37.

²⁵ 620 Connaught at paras 38–39; Westbank at paras 28-30.

²⁶ 620 Connaught at para 40.

- (b) to persons that are prescribed persons, persons of a prescribed class or persons meeting prescribed conditions; or
- (c) to a combination of the persons referred to in paragraphs (a) and (b).
- 32. The federal government can decide where the money collected by the levy is placed and spent within the province. It can select a single group of people, or simply let the Provincial government decide where the funds are allocated within the Province. There is no nexus between the tax collected and the members of the public in each province who are forced to pay the tax, nor does it have to be spent on greenhouse gas prevention schemes or project.
- 33. The predominant character that is, the 'pith and substance' ²⁷ of the carbon tax is that of a 'tax'; thus, the carbon tax also fails the secondary stage of the *Westbank* test. The carbon tax is, indeed, a 'tax'.

B. The Carbon Tax violates section 53 of the Constitution Act

- 34. The second issue that the CTF addresses in these appeals, builds on the CTF's first issue. Because the federal carbon tax is a 'tax', the implementation it must comply with section 53 of the *Constitution Act*. The CTF respectfully submits that the implementation of the federal carbon tax does not comply with section 53 of the *Constitution Act*, 1867, and therefore the federal carbon tax is unconstitutional.
- 35. The CTF agrees and respectfully adopts the position of the minority in Saskatchewan Reference that there are three key issues with the *GGPPA* and the validity of the Act under s.53 of the *Constitution Act*, 1867. Firstly, that the act fails to set an express and unambiguous delegation of Parliament's taxing authority.²⁸ Secondly, the overbreadth of the delegation of legislative power and thirdly the back-stop feature of the *GGPPA* that offends the principle of uniformity of federal taxation.
- 36. The *GGPPA* contains no express statement as to the intention of the Parliament to delegate its taxing authority to any other branch of government. However, the *GGPPA* affords a large

²⁷ 620 Connaught at paras 16–17; Westbank at para 30.

²⁸ Eurig Estate at para 88 and 92; Ontario English Catholic Teachers' Assn. v Ontario (Attorney General), 2001 SCC 15, [2001] 1 SCR 470 [OECTA] at para 74-75 and 77-79.

discretion to the executive branch of the government under s.166 (4), allowing the executive to amend the terms of the Act without the legislative power of the Parliament.

- 37. This is a clear violation of the principle of 'no taxation without representation'²⁹ and offends the requirements for delegation of taxation power by Parliament as clearly outlined in *OECTA*. The *GGPPA* is therefore invalid as it lacks an 'express and unambiguous'³⁰ intention of Parliament to delegate its taxing authority as required by s. 53 of the *Constitution Act*, 1867.
- 38. With regard to the second issue of overbreadth of the delegation of legislative power the CTF submits that the *GGPPA* confers unprecedented breath of amendment power to the executive branch. As was noted by the Saskatchewan minority "most of the critical features of the fuel levy under Part 1, including just about every definition under s. 3, are open-ended, subject to qualifying statements that permit the executive branch of government to change the very nature of the levy"³¹ for example, consider section 26 of the *GGPPA*³²:

Subject to this Part, a <u>prescribed</u> person, a person of a <u>prescribed</u> class or a person meeting <u>prescribed</u> conditions must pay to Her Majesty in right of Canada a charge in respect of a type of fuel or combustible waste in the amount determined in <u>prescribed</u> manner if <u>prescribed</u> circumstances exist or <u>prescribed</u> conditions are met. The charge becomes payable at the <u>prescribed</u> time. [Emphasis added]

- 39. Nearly every detail about the *GGPPA* charge is set other than by Parliament. Even the very decision whether or not to impose the federal carbon tax on Provinces is not made by Parliament. Thus, the decision whether or not to impose the destructive power of taxation on the Province is not one that was truly democratically made. That, respectfully, violates section 53 of the *Constitution Act*, 1867.
- 40. Lastly the CTF agrees and adopts the argument of the Attorney General of Ontario as stated in its factum at paragraph 124 and 125 and in conjunction with the Saskatchewan minority

²⁹ Kingstreet Investments Ltd. v. New Brunswick (Finance), 2007 SCC 1 [Kingstreet] at paras 14–15; OECTA at paras 69-71; Eurig Estate at paras 30-34; Constitution Act at s. 53.

³⁰ OECTA at para 74.

³¹ Saskatchewan Reference at para 365.

³² *GGPPA* at s. 26.

judgement that the *GGPPA* Part 1 offends the principle of uniformity of federal taxation, which requires federal legislation to apply in the same way to all Canadians. The *GGPPA* Part 1 allows for the executive branch of the federal government to impose the tax on a single Province if it chooses to do so. This is a clear violation of the principles enshrined in our Constitution and the ideals that underpin s. 53 of the *Constitution Act*, 1867.

PART IV - COSTS

41. Canadian Taxpayers Federation does not seek costs and requests that no costs be awarded against it.

ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, this 24th day of January, 2020.

R. Bruce E. Hallsor, Q.C.

PART VI – TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

| Authorities | Cited at Paragraph No. |
|--|---|
| 620 Connaught Ltd. v Canada (Attorney General), 2008 SCC 7, [2008] 1 SCR 131. | 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 24, 27, 28, 29, 33 |
| Eurig Estate (Re), [1998] 2 SCR 565. | 10, 35 |
| Kingstreet Investments Ltd. v. New Brunswick (Finance), 2007 SCC 1 | 37 |
| Ontario English Catholic Teachers' Assn. v Ontario (Attorney General), 2001 SCC 15, [2001] 1 SCR 470. | 35, 37 |
| Reference re: Proposed Federal Tax on exported Natural Gas, [1982] 1 SCR 1004. | 14, 16 |
| Reference re Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act, 2019 SKCA 40 | 9, 18, 23, 27, 38, |
| Westbank First Nation v British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, [1999] 3 SCR 134 | 10, 12, 13, 14, 28, 33, 37 |
| Legislation | Cited at Paragraph No. |
| Constitution Act, 1867, 30 & 31 Victoria, c. 3 (U.K.) at s. 53 | 5, 6, 7, 34, 35, 37, 39, 40 |
| Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act, S.C. 2018, c. 12, s. 186, being Part 5 of the Budget Implementation Act, 2018, No. 1, S.C. 2018, c. 12 | 1, 11, 15, 31 |