

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CANADA
(On Appeal from the Court of Appeal for Ontario)**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE *GREENHOUSE GAS POLLUTION PRICING ACT*,
SC 2018, c. 12, s. 186**

**AND IN THE MATTER OF A REFERENCE BY THE LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR
IN COUNCIL TO THE COURT OF APPEAL FOR ONTARIO UNDER THE
COURTS OF JUSTICE ACT, RSO 1990, c. C. 43, s. 8**

BETWEEN:

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ONTARIO

Appellant

-and-

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

Respondent

-and-

**ATTORNEY GENERAL OF QUÉBEC, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW BRUNSWICK,
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MANITOBA, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF BRITISH COLUMBIA,
ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR SASKATCHEWAN, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ALBERTA,
ANISHINABEK NATION, UNITED CHIEFS AND COUNCILS OF MNIDOO MNISING,
PROGRESS ALBERTA COMMUNICATIONS LIMITED, CANADIAN LABOUR CONGRESS,
SASKATCHEWAN POWER CORPORATION, SASKENERGY INCORPORATED,
OCEANS NORTH CONSERVATION SOCIETY, ASSEMBLY OF FIRST NATIONS,
CANADIAN TAXPAYERS FEDERATION, CANADA'S ECOFISCAL COMMISSION,
CANADIAN ENVIRONMENTAL LAW ASSOCIATION,
ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENCE CANADA INC.,
SISTERS OF PROVIDENCE OF ST. VINCENT DE PAUL,
AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL CANADA,
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF WOMEN AND THE LAW, FRIENDS OF THE EARTH,
INTERNATIONAL EMISSIONS TRADING ASSOCIATION, DAVID SUZUKI FOUNDATION,
ATHABASCA CHIPEWYAN FIRST NATION, SMART PROSPERITY INSTITUTE,
CANADIAN PUBLIC HEALTH ASSOCIATION, CLIMATE JUSTICE SASKATOON,
NATIONAL FARMERS UNION,
SASKATCHEWAN COALITION FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT,
SASKATCHEWAN COUNCIL FOR INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION,
SASKATCHEWAN ENVIRONMENTAL SOCIETY, SASKEV,
COUNCIL OF CANADIANS: PRAIRIE AND NORTHWEST TERRITORIES REGION,
COUNCIL OF CANADIANS: REGINA CHAPTER,
COUNCIL OF CANADIANS: SASKATOON CHAPTER,**

**NEW-BRUNSWICK ANTI-SHALE GAS ALLIANCE, YOUTH OF THE EARTH,
CENTRE QUÉBÉCOIS DU DROIT DE L'ENVIRONNEMENT ET EQUITERRE,
GENERATION SQUEEZE, PUBLIC HEALTH ASSOCIATION OF BRITISH COLUMBIA,
SASKATCHEWAN PUBLIC HEALTH ASSOCIATION,
CANADIAN ASSOCIATION OF PHYSICIANS FOR THE ENVIRONMENT,
CANADIAN COALITION FOR THE RIGHTS OF THE CHILD, YOUTH CLIMATE LAB,
ASSEMBLY OF MANITOBA CHIEFS, CITY OF RICHMOND, CITY OF VICTORIA,
CITY OF NELSON, DISTRICT OF SQUAMISH, CITY OF ROSSLAND
AND CITY OF VANCOUVER**

Interveners

**FACTUM OF THE INTERVENERS
ANISHINABEK NATION AND
UNITED CHIEFS AND COUNCILS OF MNIDOO MNISING (Jointly)**

(Pursuant to Rule 42 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of Canada)

WESTAWAY LAW GROUP

Westaway Law Group
55 Murray Street, Suite 230
Ottawa, ON K1N 5M3

Tel: 613-722-6339/613-702-3031

Fax: 613-722-9097

Email: cynthia@westawaylaw.ca
patricia@westawaylaw.ca

*Counsel for the Joint Interveners,
Anishinabek Nation and the
United Chiefs and Councils of Mnidoo Mnising*

WESTAWAY LAW GROUP

Westaway Law Group
55 Murray Street, Suite 230
Ottawa, ON K1N 5M3

Tel: 613-702-3042

Fax: 613-722-9097

Email: genevieve@westawaylaw.ca

*Agent for the Joint Interveners,
Anishinabek Nation and the
United Chiefs and Councils of Mnidoo Mnising*

ORIGINAL TO:

THE REGISTRAR

301 Wellington Street
Ottawa, ON K1A 0J1

COPIES TO:

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ONTARIO

Constitutional Law Branch
720 Bay Street, 4th Floor
Toronto, ON M7A 2S9

Josh Hunter

Padraic Ryan

Aud Ranalli

Tel: 416-908-7465

Fax: 416-326-4015

Email: joshua.hunter@ontario.ca
padraic.ryan@ontario.ca
aud.ranalli@ontario.ca

*Counsel for the Appellant, the Attorney
General of Ontario*

SUPREME ADVOCACY LLP

340 Gilmour Street, Suite 100
Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Tel: 613-695-8855

Fax: 613-695-8560

Email: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

*Agent for the Appellant, the Attorney
General of Ontario*

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

Department of Justice Canada
Prairie Regional Office
301-310 Broadway Ave.
Winnipeg, MB R3C 0S6

Sharlene Telles-Langdon

Brooke Sittler

Mary Matthews

Ned Djordjevic

Tel: 204-983-0862

Fax: 204-984-8495

Email: sharlene.telles-langdon@justice.gc.ca

*Counsel for the Respondent, the Attorney
General of Canada*

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE CANADA

50 O'Connor Street
Suite 500, Room 557
Ottawa, ON K1A 0H8

Christopher M. Rupar

Tel: 613-670-6290

Fax: 613-954-1920

Email: christopher.rupar@justice.gc.ca

*Agent for the Respondent, the Attorney
General of Canada*

PROCUREURE GENERALE DU QUÉBEC

Ministère de la justice du Québec
1200, route de l'Église, 4^e étage
Québec, QC G1V 4M1

Jean-Vincent Lacroix

Laurie Anctil

Tel: 418-643-1477 Ext: 20779
Fax: 418-644-7020
Email: jean-vincent.lacroix@justice.gouv.qc.ca
Laurie.anctil@justice.gouv.qc.ca

*Counsel for the Intervener, the Attorney General
of Québec*

NOËL & ASSOCIÉS s.e.n.c.r.l.

111, rue Champlain
Gatineau, QC J8X 3R1

Pierre Landry

Tel: 819-503-2174
Fax: 819-771-5397
Email: p.landry@noelassocies.com

*Agent for the Intervener, the Attorney
General of Québec*

**ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW
BRUNSWICK**

Legal Services Branch, Constitutional Unit
P.O. Box 6000, Stn. A.
675 King Street, Suite 2018
Fredericton, NB E3B 5H1

William E. Gould

Tel: 506-453-2222
Fax: 506-453-3275
Email: william.gould@gnb.ca

*Counsel for the Intervener, the Attorney General
of New Brunswick*

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

Barristers & Solicitors
2600-160 Elgin Street
Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

D. Lynne Watt

Tel: 613-786-8695
Fax: 613-788-3509
Email: lynne.watt@gowlingwlg.com

*Agent for the Intervener, the Attorney
General of New Brunswick*

**ATTORNEY GENERAL OF
MANITOBA**

Constitutional Law
1230-405 Broadway Avenue
Winnipeg, MB R3C 3L6

Michael Conner

Allison Kindle Pejovic

Tel: 204-945-6723
Fax: 204-945-0053
Email: michael.conner@gov.mb.ca
allison.pejovic@gov.mb.ca

*Counsel for the Intervener, the Attorney
General of Manitoba*

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

Barristers & Solicitors
2600-160 Elgin Street
Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

D. Lynne Watt

Tel: 613-786-8695
Fax: 613-788-3509
Email: lynne.watt@gowlingwlg.com

*Agent for the Intervener, the Attorney
General of Manitoba*

**ATTORNEY GENERAL OF BRITISH
COLUMBIA**

Legal Services Branch
1001 Douglas Street, 6th floor
PO Box 9280 Stn Prov Govt
Victoria, BC V8W 9J7

J. Gareth Morley

Tel: 250-952-7644
Fax: 250-356-9154
Email: gareth.morley@gov.bc.ca

*Counsel for the Intervener, the Attorney General
of British Columbia*

**ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR
SASKATCHEWAN**

820-1874 Scarth Street
Regina, SK S4P 4B3

P. Mitch McAdam, Q.C.

Alan Jacobson

Tel: 306-787-7846
Fax: 306-787-9111
Email: mitch.mcadam@gov.sk.ca
alan.jacobson@gov.sk.ca

MLT Aikins

1500 Hill Centre-1874 Scarth Street
Regina, SK S4P 4E9

Deron Kuski, QC

Jodi Wildeman

Tel: 306-347-8404
Fax: 306-352-5250
Email: dkuski@mltaikins.com
jwildeman@mltaikins.com

*Counsel for the Intervener, the Attorney General
for Saskatchewan*

MICHAEL J. SOBKIN
331 Somerset Street West
Ottawa, ON K2P 0J8

Michael J. Sobkin

Tel: 613-282-1712
Fax: 613-288-2896
Email: msobkin@sympatico.ca

*Agent for the Intervener, the Attorney
General of British Columbia*

GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP

Barristers & Solicitors
2600-160 Elgin Street
Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

D. Lynne Watt

Tel: 613-786-8695
Fax: 613-788-3509
Email: lynne.watt@gowlingwlg.com

*Agent for the Intervener, the Attorney
General for Saskatchewan*

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ALBERTA

Gall Legge Grant Zwack LLP

1000-1199 W. Hastings Street
Vancouver, BC V6E 3T5

Peter A. Gall, Q.C.

Tel: 604-891-1152

Fax: 604-669-5101

Email: pgall@glgzlaw.com

*Counsel for the Intervener, the Attorney General
of Alberta*

Caza Saikaley LLP

320-220 Laurier Avenue West
Ottawa, ON K1P 5Z9

Alyssa Tomkins

Tel: 613-565-2292

Fax: 613-565-2087

Email: atomkins@plaideurs.ca

*Agent for the Intervener, the Attorney
General of Alberta*

PROGRESS ALBERTA COMMUNICATIONS LIMITED

Nanda & Company

3400 Manulife Place
10180- 101 Street N.W.
Edmonton, AB T5J 4K1

Avnish Nanda

Martin Olszynski

Telephone: (780) 801-5324

FAX: (587) 318-1391

E-mail: avnish@nandalaw.ca

*Counsel for the Intervener, Progress Alberta
Communications Limited*

McGuinty Law Offices

1192 Rockingham Avenue
Ottawa, ON K1H 8A7

Dylan McGuinty Jr.

Telephone: (613) 526-3858

FAX: (613) 526-3187

E-mail: dylanjr@mcguintylaw.ca

*Agent for the Intervener, Progress Alberta
Communications Limited*

CANADIAN LABOUR CONGRESS

Goldblatt Partners LLP

20 Dundas Street West, Suite 1039
Toronto, ON M5G 2C2

Steven M. Barrett

Simon Archer

Mariam Moktar

Telephone: (416) 977-6070

FAX: (416) 591-7333

E-mail: sbarrett@goldblattpartners.com

*Counsel for the Intervener, Canadian
Labour Congress*

Goldblatt Partners LLP

500-30 Metcalfe St.
Ottawa, ON K1P 5L4

Colleen Bauman

Telephone: (613) 482-2463

FAX: (613) 235-3041

E-mail: cbauman@goldblattpartners.com

*Agent for the Intervener, Canadian Labour
Congress*

SASKATCHEWAN POWER CORPORATION AND SASKENERGY INCORPORATED

McKercher LLP

374 Third Avenue South
Saskatoon, SK S7K 1M5

David M. A. Stack, Q.C.

Telephone: (306) 664-1277

FAX: (306) 653-2669

E-mail: d.stack@mckercher.ca

*Counsel for the Intervener, Saskatchewan
Power Corporation and SaskEnergy
Incorporated*

Gowling WLG (Canada) LLP

160 Elgin Street, Suite 2600
Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

D. Lynne Watt

Telephone: (613) 786-8695

FAX: (613) 788-3509

E-mail: lynne.watt@gowlingwlg.com

*Agent for the Intervener, Saskatchewan
Power Corporation and SaskEnergy
Incorporated*

OCEANS NORTH CONSERVATION SOCIETY

Arvay Finlay LLP

1512-808 Nelson Street
Vancouver, BC V6Z 2H2

David W.L. Wu

Telephone: (604) 696-9828

FAX: (888) 575-3281

E-mail: dwu@arvayfinlay.ca

*Counsel for the Intervener, Oceans North
Conservation Society*

Supreme Law Group

900 - 275 Slater Street
Ottawa, ON K1P 5H9

Moira Dillon

Telephone: (613) 691-1224

FAX: (613) 691-1338

E-mail: mdillon@supremelawgroup.ca

*Agent for the Intervener, Oceans North
Conservation Society*

ASSEMBLY OF FIRST NATIONS

Assembly of First Nations

55 Metcalfe Street, Suite 1600
Ottawa, ON K1P 6L5

Stuart Wuttke

Julie McGregor

Adam Williamson

Victor Carter

Telephone: (613) 241-6789 Ext: 228

FAX: (613) 241-5808

E-mail: swuttke@afn.ca

*Counsel for the Intervener, Assembly of
First Nations*

Supreme Law Group

900 - 275 Slater Street
Ottawa, ON K1P 5H9

Moira Dillon

Telephone: (613) 691-1224

FAX: (613) 691-1338

E-mail: mdillon@supremelawgroup.ca

*Agent for the Intervener, Assembly of First
Nations*

CANADIAN TAXPAYERS FEDERATION

Crease Harman LLP

1070 Douglas Street, Unit 800
Victoria, BC V8W 2C4

R. Bruce E. Hallsor

Hana Felix

Telephone: (250) 388-9124
FAX: (250) 388-4294
E-mail: Bhallsor@crease.com

*Counsel for the Intervener, Canadian
Taxpayers Federation*

Supreme Advocacy LLP

100- 340 Gilmour Street
Ottawa, ON K2P 0R3

Marie-France Major

Telephone: (613) 695-8855 Ext: 102
FAX: (613) 695-8580
E-mail: mfmajor@supremeadvocacy.ca

*Agent for the Intervener, Canadian
Taxpayers Federation*

CANADA'S ECOFISCAL COMMISSION

University of Ottawa

Faculty of Law
57 Louis Pasteur St.
Ottawa, ON K1N 6N5

Stewart Elgie, LSM

Telephone: (613) 562-5800 Ext: 1270
E-mail: stewart.elgie@uottawa.ca

*Counsel for the Intervener, Canada's
Ecofiscal Commission*

Champ and Associates

43 Florence Street
Ottawa, ON K2P 0W6

Bijon Roy

Telephone: (613) 237-4740
FAX: (613) 232-2680
E-mail: broy@champlaw.ca

*Agent for the Intervener, Canada's Ecofiscal
Commission*

**CANADIAN ENVIRONMENTAL LAW ASSOCIATION, ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENCE
CANADA INC. AND SISTERS OF PROVIDENCE OF ST. VINCENT DE PAUL**

**Canadian Environmental Law
Association**

1500 - 55 University Avenue
Toronto, ON M5J 2H7

Joseph F. Castrilli

Theresa McClenaghan

Richard D. Lindgren

Telephone: (416) 960-2284 Ext: 7218
FAX: (416) 960-9392
E-mail: castrillij@sympatico.ca

*Counsel for the Interveners, Canadian
Environmental Law Association,
Environmental Defence Canada Inc., and
Sisters of Providence of St. Vincent de Paul*

Gowling WLG (Canada) LLP

160 Elgin Street, Suite 2600
Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

Jeffrey W. Beedell

Telephone: (613) 786-0171
FAX: (613) 788-3587
E-mail: jeff.beedell@gowlingwlg.com

*Agent for the Interveners, Canadian
Environmental Law Association,
Environmental Defence Canada Inc., and
Sisters of Providence of St. Vincent de Paul*

AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL CANADA

Stockwoods LLP

TD North Tower, Suite 4130
77 King Street West, P.O. Box 140
Toronto, ON M5K 1H1

Justin Safayeni

Zachary Al-Khatib

Telephone: (416) 593-7200
FAX: (416) 593-9345
E-mail: justins@stockwoods.ca

*Counsel for the Intervener, Amnesty
International Canada*

Conway Baxter Wilson LLP

400 - 411 Roosevelt Avenue
Ottawa, ON K2A 3X9

David P. Taylor

Telephone: (613) 691-0368
FAX: (613) 688-0271
E-mail: dtaylor@conway.pro

*Agent for the Intervener, Amnesty
International Canada*

**NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF WOMEN AND THE LAW
AND FRIENDS OF THE EARTH**

University of Ottawa

57 Louis Pasteur St.
Ottawa, ON K1N 6C5

Nathalie Chalifour

Anne Levesque

Telephone: (613) 562-5800 Ext: 3331
FAX: (613) 562-5124
E-mail: Nathalie.Chalifour@uottawa.ca

*Counsel for the Interveners, National
Association of Women and the Law and
Friends of the Earth*

Conway Baxter Wilson LLP

400 - 411 Roosevelt Avenue
Ottawa, ON K2A 3X9

Marion Sandilands

Telephone: (613) 288-0149
FAX: (613) 688-0271
E-mail: msandilands@conway.pro

*Agent for the Interveners, National
Association of Women and the Law and
Friends of the Earth*

INTERNATIONAL EMISSIONS TRADING ASSOCIATION

DeMarco Allan LLP

333 Bay Street, Suite 265
Toronto, ON M5H 2R2

Elisabeth DeMarco

Jonathan McGillivray

Telephone: (647) 991-1190
FAX: (888) 734-9459
E-mail: lisa@demarcoallan.com

*Counsel for the Intervener, International
Emissions Trading Association*

Fasken

55 Metcalfe Street, Suite 1300
Ottawa ON K1P 6L5

Sophie Arseneault

Telephone: (613) 696-6904
FAX: (613) 230.6423
E-mail: sarseneault@fasken.com

*Agent for the Intervener, International
Emissions Trading Association*

DAVID SUZUKI FOUNDATION
Ecojustice Environmental Law Clinic
at the University of Ottawa
216-1 Stewart Street
Faculty of Law – Common Law
Ottawa, ON K1N 6N5

Joshua Ginsberg
Randy Christensen
Telephone: (613) 562-5800 Ext: 3399
FAX: (613) 562-5319
E-mail: jginsberg@ecojustice.ca

Counsel for the Intervener, David Suzuki Foundation

ATHABASCA CHIPEWYAN FIRST NATION
Ecojustice Environmental Law Clinic
at the University of Ottawa
216 – 1 Stewart Street
Ottawa, ON K1N 6N5

Professor Amir Attaran
Tel: 613-562-5800 ext. 3382
Fax: 613-562-5319
Email: aattaran@ecojustice.ca

WOODWARD & COMPANY LAWYERS LLP
200-1022 Government Street
Victoria, BC V8W 1X7

Matt Hulse
Tel: 250-383-2356
Fax: 250-380-6560
Email: mhulse@woodwardandcompany.com

Counsel for the Intervener,
Athabasca Chipewyan First Nation

Ecojustice Environmental Law Clinic
at the University of Ottawa
216 – 1 Stewart Street
Ottawa, ON K1N 6N5

Professor Amir Attaran
Tel: 613-562-5800 ext. 3382
Fax: 613-562-5319
Email: aattaran@ecojustice.ca

Agent for the Intervener,
Athabasca Chipewyan First Nation

SMART PROSPERITY INSTITUTE

University of Ottawa
Faculty of Law
57 Louis Pasteur Street
Ottawa, ON K1N 6N5

Jeremy de Beer

Telephone: (613) 562-5800 Ext: 3169
E-mail: Jeremy.deBeer@uOttawa.ca

*Counsel for the Intervener, Smart Prosperity
Institute*

Gowling WLG (Canada) LLP

160 Elgin Street
Suite 2600
Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

Guy Régimbald

Telephone: (613) 786-0197
FAX: (613) 563-9869
E-mail: guy.regimbald@gowlingwlg.com

*Agent for the Intervener, Smart Prosperity
Institute*

CANADIAN PUBLIC HEALTH ASSOCIATION

Gowling WLG (Canada) LLP
Suite 1600, 1 First Canadian Place
100 King Street West
Toronto, ON M5X 1G5

Jennifer L. King

Michael Finley
Liane Langstaff
Telephone: (416) 862-7525
FAX: (416) 862-7661
E-mail: jennifer.king@gowlingwlg.com

*Counsel for the Intervener, Canadian Public
Health Association*

Gowling WLG (Canada) LLP

160 Elgin Street, Suite 2600
Ottawa, ON K1P 1C3

Jeffrey W. Beedell

Telephone: (613) 786-0171
FAX: (613) 788-3587
E-mail: jeff.beedell@gowlingwlg.com

*Agent for the Intervener, Canadian Public
Health Association*

**CLIMATE JUSTICE SASKATOON, NATIONAL FARMERS UNION,
SASKATCHEWAN COALITION FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT,
SASKATCHEWAN COUNCIL FOR INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION,
SASKATCHEWAN ENVIRONMENTAL SOCIETY, SASKEV**

Kowalchuk Law Office

18 Patton Street
Regina, SK S4R 3N9

Supreme Law Group

900 - 275 Slater Street
Ottawa, ON K1P 5H9

Larry W. Kowalchuk

Telephone: (306) 529-3001
E-mail: larry@kowalchuklaw.ca

Moira Dillon

Telephone: (613) 691-1224
FAX: (613) 691-1338
E-mail: mdillon@supremelawgroup.ca

*Counsel for the Interveners, Climate Justice
Saskatoon, National Farmers Union,
Saskatchewan Coalition for Sustainable
Development, Saskatchewan Council for
International Cooperation, Saskatchewan
Environmental Society, SaskEV*

*Agent for the Interveners, Climate Justice
Saskatoon, National Farmers Union,
Saskatchewan Coalition for Sustainable
Development, Saskatchewan Council for
International Cooperation, Saskatchewan
Environmental Society, SaskEV*

**COUNCIL OF CANADIANS: PRAIRIE AND NORTHWEST TERRITORIES REGION,
COUNCIL OF CANADIANS: REGINA CHAPTER, COUNCIL OF CANADIANS:
SASKATOON CHAPTER, NEW-BRUNSWICK ANTI-SHALE GAS ALLIANCE AND
YOUTH OF THE EARTH**

Kowalchuk Law Office

18 Patton Street
Regina, SK S4R 3N9

Supreme Law Group

900 - 275 Slater Street
Ottawa, ON K1P 5H9

Larry W. Kowalchuk

Telephone: (306) 529-3001
E-mail: larry@kowalchuklaw.ca

Moira Dillon

Telephone: (613) 691-1224
FAX: (613) 691-1338
E-mail: mdillon@supremelawgroup.ca

*Counsel for the Interveners, Council of
Canadians: Prairie and Northwest
Territories Region, Council of Canadians:
Regina Chapter, Council of Canadians:
Saskatoon Chapter, New Brunswick Anti-
Shale Gas Alliance and Youth of the Earth*

*Agent for the Interveners, Council of
Canadians: Prairie and Northwest
Territories Region, Council of Canadians:
Regina Chapter, Council of Canadians:
Saskatoon Chapter, New Brunswick Anti-
Shale Gas Alliance and Youth of the Earth*

CENTRE QUÉBÉCOIS DU DROIT DE L'ENVIRONNEMENT ET ÉQUITERRE

Michel Bélanger Avocats Inc.

454 avenue Laurier Est
Montréal, QC H2J 1E7

Juristes Power

130 rue Albert, bureau 1103
Ottawa, ON K1P 5G4

David Robitaille

Marc Bishai

Telephone: (514) 991-9005
FAX: (514) 844-7009
E-mail: david.robitaille@uottawa.ca

Maxine Vincelette

Telephone: (613) 702-5560
FAX: (613) 702-5561
E-mail: mvincelette@juristespower.ca

*Counsel for the Intervener, Centre
Québécois du Droit de l'Environnement et
Équiterre*

*Agent for the Intervener, Centre Québécois
du Droit de l'Environnement et Équiterre*

**GENERATION SQUEEZE, PUBLIC HEALTH ASSOCIATION OF BRITISH
COLUMBIA, SASKATCHEWAN PUBLIC HEALTH ASSOCIATION, CANADIAN
ASSOCIATION OF PHYSICIANS FOR THE ENVIRONMENT, CANADIAN
COALITION FOR THE RIGHTS OF THE CHILD AND YOUTH CLIMATE LAB**

Ratcliff & Company LLP

221 West Esplanade, Suite 500
North Vancouver, BC V7M 3J3

Power Law

130 Albert Street, Suite 1103
Ottawa, ON K1P 5G4

Nathan Hume

Emma Hume

Cam Brewer

Telephone: (604) 988-5201
FAX: (604) 988-1452
E-mail: nhume@ratcliff.com

Darius Bossé

Telephone: (613) 702-5566
FAX: (613) 702-5566
E-mail: dbosse@juristespower.ca

*Counsel for the Interveners, Generation
Squeeze, Public Health Association of
British Columbia, Saskatchewan Public
Health Association, Canadian Association
of Physicians for the Environment,
Canadian Coalition for the Rights of the
Child and Youth Climate Lab*

*Agent for the Interveners, Generation
Squeeze, Public Health Association of
British Columbia, Saskatchewan Public
Health Association, Canadian Association
of Physicians for the Environment,
Canadian Coalition for the Rights of the
Child and Youth Climate Lab*

ASSEMBLY OF MANITOBA CHIEFS

Public Interest Law Centre

200-393 Portage Avenue
Winnipeg, MB R3B 3H6

Joëlle Pastora Sala

Byron Williams

Katrine Dilay

Telephone: (204) 985-8540

FAX: (204) 985-8544

E-mail: jopas@pilc.mb.ca

*Counsel for the Intervener, Assembly of
Manitoba Chiefs*

Power Law

130 Albert Street, Suite 1103
Ottawa, ON K1P 5G4

Maxine Vincelette

Telephone: (613) 702-5560

FAX: (613) 702-5560

E-mail: mvincelette@powerlaw.ca

*Agent for the Intervener, Assembly of
Manitoba Chiefs*

**CITY OF RICHMOND, CITY OF VICTORIA, CITY OF NELSON, DISTRICT OF
SQUAMISH, CITY OF ROSSLAND AND CITY OF VANCOUVER**

Lidstone & Company

Sun Tower, Suite 1300
128 Pender Street West
Vancouver, BC V6B 1R8

Paul A. Hildebrand

Olivia French

Telephone: (604) 899-2269

FAX: (604) 899-2281

E-mail: hildebrand@lidstone.ca

*Counsel for the interveners, City of Victoria,
City of Nelson, District of Squamish, City of
Rossland and City of Vancouver*

Power Law

130 Albert Street, Suite 1103
Ottawa, ON K1P 5G4

Maxine Vincelette

Telephone: (613) 702-5560

FAX: (613) 702-5560

E-mail: mvincelette@powerlaw.ca

*Agent for the interveners, City of Victoria,
City of Nelson, District of Squamish, City of
Rossland and City of Vancouver*

Table of Contents

PART I – OVERVIEW AND FACTS..... 1
PART II – ISSUES 3
PART III – ARGUMENT..... 4
PART IV – COSTS..... 10
PART V – TABLE OF AUTHORITIES 11
PART VI – STATUTORY PROVISIONS 12

MEMORANDUM OF ARGUMENT

PART I – OVERVIEW AND FACTS

1. The First Nations which make up the Anishinabek Nation (AN) and the United Chiefs and Councils of Mnidoo Mnising (UCCMM) have lived on the lands and waters of their territories, and exercised their traditional way of life within those territories since time immemorial. The member Nations of the AN and the UCCMM have also exercised inherent jurisdiction over those lands and waters for just as long. With the inclusion of section 35 in the *Constitution Act, 1982*, all of these inherent rights have now also been given constitutional recognition and affirmation.¹

2. Many of the First Nation communities that together make up the AN (including the member Nations of UCCMM) entered into Treaties and other solemn agreements with the Crown, some of which go back almost 200 years. In addition, many of the AN member Nations are presently engaged in exploratory discussions with Canada regarding the exercise of jurisdiction and to support and implement a renewed Nation-to-Nation relationship. As representatives of Canada's First peoples, and as Treaty partners with the Crown, the AN and UCCMM member Nations bring a critical and unique perspective to the issues raised in this appeal.

3. The majority of the Ontario Court of Appeal (ONCA) recognized the disproportionate impact that climate change has had (and will continue to have) on Indigenous communities in Canada. Chief Justice Strathy, speaking on behalf of the majority, found, generally that “climate change is causing or exacerbating: increased frequency and severity of extreme weather events (including droughts, floods, wildfires, and heat waves); degradation of soil and water resources; thawing of permafrost; rising sea levels; ocean acidification; decreased agricultural productivity and famine; species loss and extinction; and expansion of the ranges of life-threatening vector-borne diseases, such as Lyme disease and West Nile virus.”² He then went on to note the specific impacts that climate change has had on Indigenous communities:

[12] Climate change has had a particularly serious impact on some Indigenous communities in Canada. The impact is greater in these communities because of the

¹ [*Constitution Act, 1982, s. 35, being Schedule B to the Canada Act 1982 \(UK\), 1982, c 11.*](#)

² [*Reference re Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act*, 2019 ONCA 544 at para 11 \(per Strathy CJO\) \[*Ontario Reasons*\].](#)

traditionally close relationship between Indigenous peoples and the land and waters on which they live.

[13] For example, members of the intervener Athabasca Chipewyan First Nation (“ACFN”) ... depend for their survival on hunting caribou, gathering food and medicinal plants, and trapping and fishing. The ACFN has adduced evidence that these traditional, survival-based practices are threatened by climate change. A declining barrenland caribou population, the reduction of surface water in lakes and rivers, and an increased risk of wildfires, each of which is caused or exacerbated by climate change, threaten the ACFN’s ability to maintain its traditional way of life.

[14] ... The traditional territories of the UCCMM Nations are primarily situated on and around Manitoulin Island and the north shore of Georgian Bay. ... the UCCMM Nations’ intimate relationship with their lands and waters has allowed them to observe the impacts of climate change firsthand. Over recent decades, they have noted a decrease in moose populations and native whitefish stocks, less frequent but more intense bouts of precipitation, shorter and thinner ice cover in the winter, and diminishing water quality due to increased green algae blooms spurred by warmer temperatures.³

4. As recognized by the majority of the ONCA, these changes to the environment impair the UCCMM Nations’ ability to sustain themselves by observing traditional practices, and threaten their continued existence as a self-determining people. The same is true for all of the AN member Nations.

5. The adverse impacts on the rights of Indigenous peoples which were acknowledged by the majority of the ONCA also contravene the *United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples* (UNDRIP), which expressly recognizes, among other rights, the rights of Indigenous peoples to the “conservation and protection of the environment and the productive capacity of their lands or territories and resources” (Article 29).

6. In answering the fundamental question of constitutional interpretation presented in this appeal, related to the allocation of legislative jurisdiction in Canada, this Court must consider not only sections 91 and 92 of the *Constitution Act, 1867*,⁴ but must also take into account other written constitutional provisions, including section 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982*, as well as its international obligations towards Indigenous peoples and their inherent rights.

³ [Ontario Reasons](#) at paras 12-14 (*per* Strathy CJO).

⁴ [Constitution Act, 1867 \(UK\)](#), at ss. 91, 92, 30 & 31 *Vict, c 3*, reprinted in RSC 1985, App II, No 5.

7. Understood from the perspective of Canadian constitutional law, this case is about whether the federal level of government has the requisite constitutional authority to enact legislation designed to establish and enforce minimum national standards in relation to reducing greenhouse gas emissions (GHGs). From the perspective of the AN and the UCCMM, this case raises even more fundamentally important questions about who has the *responsibility* to protect our planet and to preserve the exercise of those rights which have been recognized and affirmed in section 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982*. The answer to that question must be: “All of us!!”

8. It is the view of the AN and the UCCMM that the analysis to be undertaken by the Court on this appeal must reconcile the distribution of legislative authority under sections 91 and 92 of the *Constitution Act, 1867*, with the recognition and affirmation of Aboriginal and Treaty rights in section 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982*. In order to achieve this reconciliation, the answer to the question before this Court must recognize a role for all levels of government – including the federal and provincial levels of government, as well as Indigenous governments – in protecting and preserving the environment.

9. If the decision of the majority of the ONCA is not upheld, then the result will be to deny the federal government the constitutional authority to adopt legislation *establishing minimum national standards to reduce greenhouse gas emissions*. That outcome would create an impermissible constitutional gap. It would present a very real risk that the rights of the AN and the UCCMM member Nations to carry out those customs and practices that are integral to their traditional way of life and their ability to exercise their inherent jurisdiction will be impacted to the point of extinguishment, thereby rendering those rights meaningless.

PART II – ISSUES

10. The issue before this honourable Court on this appeal is properly framed by the question referred to the ONCA by the Governor-in-Council, namely: “whether the *Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act, Part 5 of the Budget Implementation Act, 2018, No. 1*, S.C. 2018, c. 12, is unconstitutional in whole or in part.” Any effort on the part of Ontario to narrow the scope of the issues to be considered, based on the more restrictive wording of the Constitutional Question it has put forward, must be rejected.

PART III – ARGUMENT

11. The AN and the UCCMM advance the following arguments in support of their position that the *Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act, Part 5 of the Budget Implementation Act, 2018, No. 1*, S.C. 2018, c. 12⁵ (GGPPA) is *intra vires* the federal Parliament.

I. All Parts of the Constitution Must Be Read Together

12. The requirement to read the Constitution as a whole has been recognized on many occasions by this Court. The clearest and most concise statement of this principle can be found in *Gosselin (Tutor of) v. Quebec (Attorney General)*:

As the Court has stated on numerous occasions, there is no hierarchy amongst constitutional provisions. ... ***All parts of the Constitution must be read together.***⁶

13. The interpretation of the written constitutional provisions, is also informed by unwritten principles. In *Rogers Communication Inc. v. Chateauguay (City)*, this Court expressly recognized that:

... when courts apply the various constitutional doctrines, they must take into account the principles of co-operative federalism, ***which favours, where possible, the concurrent operation of statutes enacted by governments at both levels.***⁷

14. This approach to constitutional interpretation, which takes into account principles of co-operative federalism, is reflected in the reasons of the majority of the Saskatchewan Court of Appeal (SKCA) in the Saskatchewan reference:

If it is necessary to apply established doctrine in a slightly different way to ensure both levels of government have the tools essential for dealing with something as pressing as climate change, that would seem to be entirely appropriate. ***It is also in keeping with what the Supreme Court has said about the utility of, where possible, allowing both Parliament and the provincial legislatures jurisdictional room to act in relation to the environment.***⁸

⁵ *Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act*, being Part 5 of the *Budget Implementation Act, 2018, No 1*, [SC 2018, c 12, s 186](#).

⁶ [Gosselin \(Tutor of\) v Quebec \(Attorney General\)](#), 2005 SCC 15 at para 2 [**Gosselin**].

⁷ [Rogers Communication Inc v Chateauguay \(City\)](#), 2016 SCC 23 at para 38 [**Rogers Communication Inc**].

⁸ [Reference re Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act](#), 2019 SKCA 40 at para 144 [**Saskatchewan Reasons**].

15. Given the disproportionate impacts that climate change has on First Nation communities and their traditional way of life, and recognizing the potential for Indigenous communities to assert and exercise jurisdiction in relation to environmental issues that directly impact their lands and their people, the AN and UCCMM ask this Court to take the SKCA’s reasoning a step further. The AN and UCCMM urge this Court to adopt an approach to the issues in this case which allows jurisdictional space for all levels of government – federal, provincial and Indigenous – in regulating critical environmental matters.

II. Division of Powers Analysis Must be Consistent with Section 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982*

16. Where courts are faced with a division of powers question, the analysis to be undertaken involves the following two steps:

Step 1 – Characterization

The Court must examine the purpose and effect of the legislation at issue in order to identify its dominant or most important characteristic (i.e., its “pith and substance”).

Step 2 – Classification

Having identified the pith and substance of the legislation, the Court must determine where it falls within the constitutional division of powers set out in sections 91 and 92 of the *Constitution Act, 1867*.⁹

17. At each step of the analysis, courts considering questions related to the constitutional division of legislative authority in Canada are required to take Indigenous rights and interests, including environmental stewardship and governance rights, “recognized and affirmed” under section 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982*, into consideration.

18. In 1990, this Court affirmed in *R. v. Sparrow*¹⁰ that the powers set out in section 91 must “now be read together with s. 35(1)” as “federal power must be reconciled with federal duty.”¹¹ Furthermore, as was recognized by Chief Justice Dickson in *Mitchell v. Peguis*, “any federal-

⁹ *Ontario Reasons* at para 67 (per Strathy CJO), citing *Reference re Pan-Canadian Securities Regulation*, 2018 SCC 48 at para 86.

¹⁰ *R v Sparrow*, [1990] 1 SCR 1075 [*Sparrow*].

¹¹ *Sparrow* at 1109.

provincial divisions that the Crown has imposed on itself are internal to itself *and do not alter the basic structure of Sovereign-Indian relations.*”¹²

Step 1 – A Narrow and Specific Characterization of the “Pith and Substance” of the GGPPA is to be Preferred

19. The majority of the ONCA properly characterized the pith and substance of the GGPPA with reference to the Act’s purpose and its effects.¹³ The majority of the ONCA described the Act’s pith and substance as: “*establishing minimum national standards to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.*”¹⁴

20. The AN and the UCCMM accept this characterization of the pith and substance of the GGPPA as correct. It is submitted that there are two equally important aspects to this characterization, both of which are critical to an assessment of whether the matter falls within the national concern branch of the “Peace, Order and good Government” (POGG) authority under section 91 of the *Constitution Act, 1867*:

- (i) the establishment of minimum national standards; and
- (ii) to reduce GHG emissions.

21. After 1982, following the constitutional recognition and affirmation of Aboriginal and Treaty rights in section 35, a narrow and specific characterization of the “matter” of national concern is generally to be preferred (as opposed to the Appellant’s broad characterizations). This approach to characterization leaves the maximum possible space for other levels of government, including both provinces and Indigenous governments, to exercise jurisdiction and legislate with respect to any related but local aspects of the broader matter in issue. To the extent that there are cases decided before 1982 which suggest a different approach to characterization, it is submitted that they should be given little or no weight in light of section 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982*.

22. In the alternative to the characterization of pith and substance adopted by the majority of the ONCA, the AN and UCCMM would also be prepared to accept the modified characterization

¹² *Mitchell v Peguis Indian Band*, [1990] 2 SCR 85 at 109. See also: *Mikisew Cree First Nation v Canada (Governor General in Council)*, 2018 SCC 40 at para 44. [emphasis added]

¹³ *Ontario Reasons* at para 76 (per Strathy CJO).

¹⁴ *Ontario Reasons* at para 77 (per Strathy CJO). [emphasis added]

of the pith and substance of the *GGPPA* now put forward by Canada – i.e., “*establishing minimum national standards integral to reducing nationwide GHG emissions*”. In the remainder of these submissions, the AN and UCCMM will cite the characterization of pith and substance adopted by the majority of the ONCA, which they consider sufficiently specific to be consistent with section 35. However, nothing in these submissions would change if Canada’s characterization were to be adopted by this Court.

Step 2 – Classification

23. Section 91 of the *Constitution Act, 1867*, includes the residual POGG authority. The federal POGG authority includes a “national concern”, a “national emergency” and a “gap” branch. The accepted test for deciding whether a given piece of legislation falls within the national concern branch of POGG was set down by this Court in *Crown Zellerbach*.¹⁵

24. Applying the *Crown Zellerbach* test, the majority of the ONCA concluded that the *GGPPA* “is constitutionally valid under the national concern branch of the POGG.” The majority reasoned that establishing minimum national standards to reduce GHG emissions was either a matter that did not exist in 1867¹⁶ or was a matter that, while originally of a local and private nature, had become a matter of national concern.¹⁷

25. The test set down by this Court in *Crown Zellerbach*, requires a determination as to whether the matter in issue has a singleness, distinctiveness and indivisibility that clearly distinguishes it from matters of provincial concern. In applying these *Crown Zellerbach* criteria, the AN and UCCMM agree with the summary put forward by the Attorney General of British Columbia and endorsed by the majority of the ONCA as “a helpful guide”:

(1) singleness requires that the matter be characterized as specifically and narrowly as possible, at the lowest level of abstraction consistent with the fundamental purpose and effect of the statute;

(2) distinctiveness requires that the matter be one beyond the practical or legal capacity of the provinces because of the constitutional limitation on their jurisdiction to matters “in the Province”; and

¹⁵ [R v Crown Zellerbach Canada Ltd](#), [1988] 1 SCR 401 [*Crown Zellerbach*].

¹⁶ [Ontario Reasons](#) at para 104 (*per* Strathy CJO).

¹⁷ [Ontario Reasons](#) at para 105 (*per* Strathy CJO).

(3) indivisibility means that the matter must not be an aggregate of matters within provincial competence, but must have its own integrity – this normally occurs *where the failure of one province to take action primarily affects extra-provincial interests, including the interests of other provinces, other countries and Indigenous and treaty rights*.¹⁸ [emphasis added]

26. The majority of the ONCA concluded that “establishing minimum national standards to reduce GHG emissions” meets these requirements, based, in part, on the following rationale:

[114] ... [GHGs] have known and chemically distinct scientific characteristics. They combine in the atmosphere to become persistent and indivisible in their contribution to anthropogenic climate change. *They have no concern for provincial or national boundaries. Emitted anywhere, they cause climate change everywhere, with potentially catastrophic effects on the natural environment and on all forms of life. They are exactly the type of pollutant that both the majority and the minority in Crown Zellerbach contemplated would fall within the national concern branch of the POGG power.*¹⁹ [emphasis added]

27. The constitutional recognition and affirmation of Aboriginal and Treaty right in section 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982* mandates that, in applying the *Crown Zellerbach* criteria, the rights and interests of First Nations must be taken into account. A consideration of Indigenous rights and interests in this case supports a finding that the *GGPPA* is *intra vires* the federal Parliament.

28. It is well-established that when determining if a matter is one of national concern, “it is relevant to consider what would be the effect on extra-provincial interests of a provincial failure to deal effectively with the control or regulation of the intra-provincial aspects of the matter.”²⁰ As accepted by the majority of the ONCA, the “extra-provincial” interests to be considered must be recognized as including Indigenous interests. Courts must, at this stage of the analysis, consider what impact the failure of even one province to effectively reduce GHG emissions would have on the Aboriginal and Treaty rights of the AN member Nations recognized and affirmed under section 35.²¹

¹⁸ [Ontario Reasons](#) at para 113 (*per* Strathy CJO).

¹⁹ [Ontario Reasons](#) at para 114 (*per* Strathy CJO).

²⁰ [Crown Zellerbach](#) at 431-32.

²¹ [Crown Zellerbach](#) at 431-32.

29. The majority of the ONCA found the application of the “provincial inability” test “leaves no doubt that establishing minimum national standards to reduce GHG emissions is a single, distinct and indivisible matter”:

[117] ... While a province can pass laws in relation to GHGs emitted within its own boundaries, its laws cannot affect GHGs emitted by polluters in other provinces – emissions that cause climate change across all provinces and territories. However stringent a provinces GHG emissions reduction measures, they cannot, on their own, reduce Canada’s net emissions. ...

[118] The matter is itself indivisible. *No one province acting alone or group of provinces acting together can establish minimum standards to reduce GHG emissions. Their efforts can be undermined by the action or by the inaction of other provinces.* Thus, the reduction of GHG emissions cannot be dealt with in a piecemeal manner. It must be addressed as a single matter to ensure its efficacy. The establishment of minimum national standards does precisely that.²² [emphasis added]

30. First Nations’ lands and waters, and the exercise of their inherent rights, do not align with and are not confined within provincial boundaries. The AN and UCCMM Nations urge this Court to acknowledge that the recognition and affirmation of Aboriginal and Treaty rights in section 35 of the *Constitution Act, 1982*, necessarily require that there be some level of government that is accountable to First Nations for the protection and preservation of those rights. It would be a hollow promise indeed for the Constitution to “recognize and affirm” rights without providing any effective recourse if and when those rights are imperiled. Where there are rights, there must be an effective remedy.²³

31. Provinces are incapable of addressing concerns raised by Indigenous groups about the potential impacts of actions that occur within provincial boundaries on section 35-protected rights that exist and are being exercised beyond those provincial boundaries. Only the federal level of government is capable of adopting the minimum national standards necessary for the protection of the Aboriginal and Treaty rights that lie at the core of the Anishinabek way of life, which are constitutionally protected in section 35, and expressly recognized in the *UNDRIP*.

²² [Ontario Reasons](#) at paras 117-118 (per Strathy CJO).

²³ [Doucet-Boudreau v Nova Scotia \(Minister of Education\)](#), 2003 SCC 62 at para 25.

32. This Court should prefer an approach to the issue raised in this appeal which provides Indigenous groups, including the member Nations of the AN and UCCMM, with an effective remedy for the impacts that GHG emissions and climate change have had, and will continue to have, on their constitutionally-protected rights, which lie at the heart of their very existence as distinctive Anishinabek peoples.

33. This Court has previously held that Indigenous peoples should not be left without effective redress as a result of federal-provincial jurisdictional disputes.²⁴ To deny the federal government jurisdiction to enact legislation “*establishing minimum national standards to reduce greenhouse gas emissions*” (ONCA majority characterization) or “*establishing minimum national standards integral to reducing nationwide GHG emissions*” (Canada’s characterization) would leave the AN and UCCMM member Nations “in a jurisdictional wasteland with significant and disadvantaging consequences”.²⁵ Such an outcome has already been rejected by this Court.²⁶ Only an outcome which gives the AN and UCCMM Nations the ability to hold some level of government accountable for the protection and preservation of their rights is consistent with the “recognition and affirmation” of Aboriginal and Treaty rights in section 35.

PART IV – COSTS

34. The AN and UCCMM do not seek costs, and ask that no costs be awarded against them.

ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 23rd day of January, 2020.

Cynthia Westaway/Patricia Lawrence
 Counsel for the Interveners
 Anishinabek Nation and
 United Chiefs and Councils of Mnidoo Mnising

²⁴ [Daniels v Canada \(Indian Affairs and Northern Development\)](#), 2016 SCC 12 [**Daniels**].

²⁵ [Daniels](#) at para 14.

²⁶ [Daniels](#) at para 14.

PART V – TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Case Law	Cited at paragraphs
<i>Daniels v Canada (Indian Affairs and Northern Development)</i> , 2016 SCC 12	33
<i>Doucet-Boudreau v Nova Scotia (Minister of Education)</i> , 2003 SCC 62	30
<i>Gosselin (Tutor of) v Quebec (Attorney General)</i> , 2005 SCC 15	12
<i>Mikisew Cree First Nation v Canada (Governor General in Council)</i> , 2018 SCC 40	18
<i>Mitchell v Peguis Indian Band</i> , [1990] 2 SCR 85	18
<i>R v Crown Zellerbach Canada Ltd</i> , [1988] 1 SCR 401	23, 28
<i>R v Sparrow</i> , [1990] 1 SCR 1075	18
<i>Reference re Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act</i> , 2019 SKCA 40	14
<i>Reference re Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act</i> , 2019 ONCA 544	3, 10, 16, 19, 24, 25, 26, 29
<i>Rogers Communication Inc v Chateauguay (City)</i> , 2016 SCC 23	13

PART VI – STATUTORY PROVISIONS

Legislation		Cited at paragraphs
<i>Constitution Act, 1867</i> , (UK) 30 & 31 Victoria, c 3, ss 91 , 92A	<i>Loi constitutionnelle de 1867</i> , (R-U) 30 & 31 Victoria, c 3, arts 91 , 92A	6, 8, 23
<i>Constitution Act, 1982</i> , s 35 , Schedule B to the <i>Canada Act 1982</i> (UK), 1982, c 11	<i>Loi constitutionnelle de 1862</i> , art 35 , annexe B de la <i>Loi de 1982 sur le Canada</i> , 1982 (R-U), c 11	1, 6, 7, 8, 17, 21, 27, 30
<i>Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act</i> , being Part 5 of the <i>Budget Implementation Act, 2018, No 1</i> , SC 2018, c 12, s 186 .	<i>Loi sur la tarification de la pollution causée par les gaz à effet de serre</i> , constituant la partie 5 de la <i>Loi no 1 d'exécution du budget de 2018</i> , LC 2018, c 12, art 186 .	11